arXiv:1610.03961v2 [hep-th] 20 Oct 2016

Long $\mathcal{N} = 2$, 4 multiplets in supersymmetric mechanics

Evgeny Ivanov[1], **Antonio Rivasplata Mendoza**[1,2], **Stepan Sidorov**[1]

[1] Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia
[2] Departamento de Física Universidad Nacional de Trujillo, Trujillo, Perú

E-mail: eivanov@theor.jinr.ru, antrivas@unitru.edu.pe, sidorovstepan88@gmail.com

Abstract. We define $SU(2|1)$ supermultiplets described by chiral superfields having non-zero external spins with respect to $SU(2) \subset SU(2|1)$ and show that their splitting into $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 1$ multiplets contains the so called "long" indecomposable $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 1$ multiplets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_1$. We give superfield formulation for this type of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ long multiplets and construct their most general superfield action. A simple example of long $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ multiplet is also considered, both in the superfield and the component formulations.

1. Introduction

In [1], $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics was proposed as a deformation of the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ mechanics by a mass parameter m. Superfield approach based on the deformed $SU(2|1)$ superspaces allowed to reproduce many previously known models [2, 3, 4, 5] and to construct new ones [1, 6, 7, 8]. In the paper [9], $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics was obtained via dimensional reduction from the superconformal model on the four-dimensional curved space-time $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ and applied to compute vacuum energy of the model. For simplicity, the authors considered supersymmetric mechanics in the framework of $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 1$ supersymmetry and revealed a new type of supermultiplets, the so-called "long multiplets". As was shown in [13], the long $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet can be embedded into a generalized $SU(2|1)$ chiral multiplet described by a chiral superfield Φ_A carrying some external index A with respect to the subgroup $SU(2)$ of the supergroup $SU(2|1)$.

Generalizations to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry with various extended sets of component fields were considered in [10, 11, 12]. The main distinguishing feature of long (non-minimal) multiplets is that they accommodate extended sets of component fields. The long $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet [9] can be interpreted as a deformation of the pair of chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ by a mass-dimension parameter, *i.e.* it has an extended set of component fields $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_1$. The long multiplet $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4})_1$ considered in [12] joins two $\mathcal{N} = 4$ chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ through a dimensionless parameter.

In this contribution we give a brief account of the long $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet, as it was discussed in [13], and present some new results for the long $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplet suggested in [12]. To be more precise, we give the superfield description for the long $\mathcal{N} = 2$, 4 multiplets which were studied at the component level in [9, 12].

2. $SU(2|1)$ **supersymmetric mechanics**

We proceed from the centrally-extended superalgebra $\hat{su}(2|1)$ with the following non-vanishing (anti)commutators:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{Q^i, \bar{Q}_j\} = 2m\left(I^i_j - \delta^i_j F\right) + 2\delta^i_j H, \qquad \left[I^i_j, I^k_l\right] = \delta^k_j I^i_l - \delta^i_l I^k_j,\\
&\left[I^i_j, \bar{Q}_l\right] = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^i_j \bar{Q}_l - \delta^i_l \bar{Q}_j, \qquad \left[I^i_j, Q^k\right] = \delta^k_j Q^i - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^i_j Q^k,\\
&\left[F, \bar{Q}_l\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\,\bar{Q}_l, \qquad \left[F, Q^k\right] = \frac{1}{2}\,Q^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Its bosonic sector contains the central charge generator H (commuting with all other generators) and the $U(2)_{\rm int}$ generators I^i_j and F. In the limit $m = 0$, this superalgebra becomes the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ Poincaré superalgebra.

The supersymmetric $SU(2|1)$ transformations of the superspace coordinates $\zeta := \left\{t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^k\right\}$, $\bar{\theta}^i = \overline{(\theta_i)}$, are given by

$$\delta\theta_i = \epsilon_i + 2m\,\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\theta_i, \quad \delta\bar{\theta}^i = \bar{\epsilon}^i - 2m\,\epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k\bar{\theta}^i, \qquad \delta t = i\left(\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k + \epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k\right). \tag{2}$$

The $SU(2|1)$ measure invariant under these transformations is

$$d\zeta = dt\,d^2\theta\,d^2\bar{\theta}\left(1 + 2m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right), \quad \delta\left(d\zeta\right) = 0. \tag{3}$$

The left chiral subspace $\zeta_L = \{t_L, \theta_i\}$, where t_L is defined as

$$t_L = t + i\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{i}{2}\,m\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\right)^2, \tag{4}$$

is closed under the $SU(2|1)$ transformations

$$\delta\theta_i = \epsilon_i + 2m\,\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\theta_i, \qquad \delta t_L = 2i\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\,. \tag{5}$$

Conjugating the coordinates of the subspace ζ_L, one obtains the right-chiral subspace ζ_R.

The $SU(2|1)$ covariant derivatives are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^i &= \left[1 + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{3m^2}{8}\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\right)^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} - m\,\bar{\theta}^i\theta_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j} - i\bar{\theta}^i\partial_t\\
&\quad + m\,\bar{\theta}^i\tilde{F} - m\,\bar{\theta}^j\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\tilde{I}^i_j,\\
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j &= -\left[1 + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{3m^2}{8}\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\right)^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^j} + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^k} + i\theta_j\partial_t\\
&\quad - m\,\theta_j\tilde{F} + m\,\theta_l\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\tilde{I}^l_j,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{I}^i_k$ are the "matrix" parts of the generators F and I^i_k. The latter non-trivially act on the covariant derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{I}^i_j\bar{\mathcal{D}}_l = \delta^i_l\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^i_j\bar{\mathcal{D}}_l\,, \qquad \tilde{I}^i_j\mathcal{D}^k = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^i_j\mathcal{D}^k - \delta^k_j\mathcal{D}^i,\\
&\tilde{F}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_l = \frac{1}{2}\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_l\,, \qquad \tilde{F}\mathcal{D}^k = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{D}^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

An $SU(2|1)$ superfield Φ_A can carry an external $U(2)$ representation corresponding to these matrix parts and it transforms according to this representations as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\Phi_A = \left(i\delta\hat{h}\tilde{F} - i\delta h_{ij}\tilde{I}^{ij}\right)\Phi_A\,,\\
&\delta\hat{h} = -im\left(\epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k + \bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\right), \quad \delta h_{ij} = im\left(\epsilon_{(i}\bar{\theta}_{j)} + \bar{\epsilon}_{(i}\theta_{j)}\right)\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

2.1. Chiral superfields

Chiral $SU(2|1)$ superfields can carry non-zero external spins s with respect to $SU(2) \subset SU(2|1)$. The simplest chiral superfield with $s = 0$ has the field contents $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$. As compared to the $SU(2)$ singlet chiral superfields, the number of component fields in the superfield Φ_A carrying non-zero external spins $s = 1/2, 1, \ldots$ increases according to

$$(\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{2s}+\mathbf{1}], \mathbf{4}[\mathbf{2s}+\mathbf{1}], \mathbf{2}[\mathbf{2s}+\mathbf{1}]). \tag{9}$$

The decomposition of the $s = 0$ chiral supermultiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ into $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets is given by a direct sum of two chiral multiplets, $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$. Below, we will consider the analogous decompositions of the $s \neq 0$ chiral multiplets.

The singlet ($s = 0$) chiral superfield Φ in the $U(2)$ representation $(0, 2\kappa)$ satisfies the chirality condition

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i \Phi = 0, \qquad \tilde{F}\Phi = 2\kappa\,\Phi, \qquad \tilde{I}^k_l \Phi = 0. \tag{10}$$

In the case of $s = 1/2, 1, 3/2 \ldots$, the chiral superfield $\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}$ belongs to the $U(2)$ representation $(s, 2\kappa)$ and is defined by the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} = 0, \qquad \tilde{F}\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} = 2\kappa\,\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}\,, \\ &\tilde{I}^k_l \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} = \sum_{n=1}^{2s} \left[\delta^k_{i_n} \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}\, l\, i_{n+1} \ldots i_{2s})} - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^k_l \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$SU(2|1)$ transformations of chiral superfields can be found from (8).

2.2. The case $s = 1/2$

The $SU(2|1)$ chiral superfield Φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) in the $U(2)$ representation $(1/2, 2\kappa)$ is defined by the constraints

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j \Phi_i = 0, \qquad \tilde{I}^k_l \Phi_i = \delta^k_i \Phi_l - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^k_l \Phi_i, \qquad \tilde{F}\Phi_i = 2\kappa\,\Phi_i. \tag{12}$$

The chirality condition is solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i\left(t_L, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}_k\right) &= \left(1 + 2m\,\bar{\theta}^l\theta_l\right)^{-\kappa} \left[1 - \frac{3m^2}{16}\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\right)^2\right] \phi_i\,(t_L, \theta_i) \\ &\quad - m\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\delta^j_i\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \bar{\theta}^j\theta_i\right)\phi_j\,(t_L, \theta_i)\,, \\ \phi_i\,(t_L, \theta_i) &= z_i + \theta_i\psi - \sqrt{2}\,\theta^k\psi_{(ik)} + \theta_k\theta^k B_i\,. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The superfields Φ_i and ϕ_i transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Phi_i &= m\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^l\theta_l\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\delta^j_i\left(\epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k + \bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\right) - \left(\epsilon_i\bar{\theta}^j + \bar{\epsilon}^j\theta_i\right)\right]\Phi_j + 2\kappa m\left(\epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k + \bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\right)\Phi_i, \\ \delta\phi_i &= 4\kappa m\left(\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\right)\phi_i + 2m\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\delta^j_i\,\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k - \bar{\epsilon}^j\theta_i\right)\phi_j\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The relevant $SU(2|1)$ transformations of the component fields read

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta z^i = -\,\epsilon^i\psi - \sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_k\psi^{(ik)}, \qquad \delta B^i = -\,\bar{\epsilon}^i\left(i\,\nabla_t\psi - \frac{m}{2}\,\psi\right) - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\epsilon}_k\left(i\,\nabla_t\psi^{(ik)} + \frac{3m}{2}\,\psi^{(ik)}\right), \\ &\delta\psi = \bar{\epsilon}^k\left(i\,\nabla_t z_k + \frac{3m}{2}\,z_k\right) - \epsilon^k B_k, \qquad \delta\psi^{(ik)} = \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{(k}\left[i\,\nabla_t z^{i)} - \frac{m}{2}\,z^{i)}\right] - \sqrt{2}\,\epsilon^{(i}B^{k)}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\nabla_t = \partial_t + 2i\kappa m\,, \qquad \bar{\nabla}_t = \partial_t - 2i\kappa m\,. \tag{16}$$

2.3. Decomposition into $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets

Singling out the subset of $\mathcal{N}=2$ transformations associated with the parameter $\epsilon_1 \equiv \epsilon$ in (15), we can identify the component fields $\left(z_i, \psi^{(ik)}, \psi, B^i\right)$ with the system of three $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets:

one long multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\rm l}$ $\oplus$ one short multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ $\oplus$ one short multiplet $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$.

The $\mathcal{N}=2$-irreducible multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ are composed of the fields $(z_2, \psi^{(11)})$ and $(\psi^{(22)}, B^2)$, while the rest of component fields $\left(z_1, \psi^{(12)}, \psi, B^1\right)$ forms a multiplet with the field contents $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\rm l}$ that was called "long" multiplet [9]. In the limit $m=0$, the indecomposable long $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\rm l}$ splits into the direct sum of two "short" irreducible $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$. At $m\neq 0$, such a splitting cannot be accomplished by any field redefinition.

In the general case $s>0$, we have the following sum of $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets:

$2s$ long multiplets $\oplus$ one short multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ $\oplus$ one short multiplet $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$.

From this decomposition one can figure out that all long multiplets have mass-dimension parameters proportional to m.

The relevant $\mathcal{N}=2$ subalgebra of (1) can be identified with

$$\{Q,\bar{Q}\} = 2\,(H-\Sigma)\,, \quad Q^2=\bar{Q}^2=0\,, \tag{17}$$

where

$$Q\equiv Q^1, \qquad \bar{Q}\equiv \bar{Q}_1, \qquad \Sigma \equiv m\left(F-I_1^1\right). \tag{18}$$

If we forget about the $su(2|1)$ origin of this superalgebra, the presence of the central Σ is not necessary since it can always be removed by a field redefinition, and $H-\Sigma$ can be chosen as the Hamiltonian:

$$H-\Sigma \;\;\rightarrow\;\; H\equiv i\partial_t\,. \tag{19}$$

For the long multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\rm l}$, this shift can be performed through the redefinitions

$$\begin{aligned} z &= z_1 e^{i(2\kappa-1/2)mt}, \qquad \xi = \left(\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{2}}-\psi_{(12)}\right)e^{i(2\kappa-1/2)mt},\\ B &= -B^1 e^{i(2\kappa-1/2)mt}, \qquad \pi = \left(\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{2}}+\psi_{(12)}\right)e^{i(2\kappa-1/2)mt}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Then, the corresponding $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta z = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon\,\xi, \qquad \delta\xi = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}\dot{z},\\ &\delta\pi = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon B + \underline{\sqrt{2}\,m\,\bar{\epsilon} z}, \qquad \delta B = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}\,\dot{\pi} - \underline{\sqrt{2}\,m\,\bar{\epsilon}\,\xi}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

close on the standard $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry algebra

$$\left\{Q,\bar{Q}\right\} = 2H, \qquad \{Q,Q\}=\left\{\bar{Q},\bar{Q}\right\}=0, \quad H=i\partial_t\,. \tag{22}$$

The free $SU(2|1)$ Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm free} = \frac{1}{4}\int d^2\theta\, d^2\bar{\theta}\left(1+2m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\Phi_i\bar{\Phi}^i. \tag{23}$$

Rewriting it in terms of the component fields,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\rm free} &= \bar\nabla_t \bar z^i\, \nabla_t z_i + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\psi\, \nabla_t\psi + \psi\, \bar\nabla_t\bar\psi\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\psi_{(ik)}\, \nabla_t\psi^{(ik)} + \psi^{(ik)}\, \bar\nabla_t\bar\psi_{(ik)}\right) + B^i \bar B_i \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2}\, m\left(z_i\bar\nabla_t\bar z^i - \bar z^i\nabla_t z_i\right) - \frac{3m^2}{4}\, z_i\bar z^i + \frac{m}{2}\left(\psi\bar\psi - 3\psi^{(ik)}\bar\psi_{(ik)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

one can check that it splits into a sum of the three free $\mathcal{N}=2$ Lagrangians:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm free} = \mathcal{L}^{\rm free}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\rm l}} + \mathcal{L}^{\rm free}_{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})} + \mathcal{L}^{\rm free}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})}\,. \tag{25}$$

After the redefinition (20), the component Lagrangian of the long multiplet in (25) reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm free}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\rm l}} = \dot{\bar z}\dot z + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\xi\dot\xi - \dot{\bar\xi}\xi\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\pi\dot\pi - \dot{\bar\pi}\pi\right) + B\bar B - \underline{m\left(\xi\bar\pi + \pi\bar\xi\right)} - \underline{m^2 z\bar z}. \tag{26}$$

3. The long $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet

Now we consider a superfield description for the long $\mathcal{N}=2$ supermultiplet defined by the transformations (21). First we define $\mathcal{N}=2$ covariant derivatives D, $\bar D$,

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - i\bar\theta\partial_t\,, \qquad \bar D = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar\theta} + i\theta\partial_t\,, \qquad \left\{D, \bar D\right\} = 2i\partial_t\,. \tag{27}$$

The $\mathcal{N}=2$, $d=1$ superspace coordinates $\left\{t, \theta, \bar\theta\right\}$ transform in the familiar way:

$$\delta\theta = \epsilon, \qquad \delta\bar\theta = \bar\epsilon, \qquad \delta t = i\left(\epsilon\,\bar\theta + \bar\epsilon\,\theta\right). \tag{28}$$

The chiral superfields are defined by the standard conditions

$$\bar D Z = 0, \qquad \bar D\Pi = 0. \tag{29}$$

The bosonic superfield Z describes an irreducible multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$, while the fermionic superfield Π has the field contents $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$. The component expansion of Π and Z reads

$$Z = z + \sqrt{2}\,\theta\,\xi - i\theta\bar\theta\dot z, \qquad \Pi = \pi + \sqrt{2}\,\theta B - i\theta\bar\theta\,\dot\pi. \tag{30}$$

The "passive" superfield transformations $\delta\Pi = \delta Z = 0$ amount to the two independent sets of transformations for the component fields:

$$\delta z = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon\,\xi, \quad \delta\xi = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar\epsilon\dot z, \qquad \delta\pi = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon B, \quad \delta B = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar\epsilon\,\dot\pi. \tag{31}$$

The long multiplet is described by the pair of fermionic and bosonic $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields Ψ and Z (of the same dimension as Π and Z above) which are subjected to the following conditions, with m being a deformation parameter:

$$\bar D\Psi = \sqrt{2}\,mZ, \qquad \bar D Z = 0. \tag{32}$$

As a solution of (32) (non-singular at $m=0$), the superfield Ψ can be represented as

$$\Psi = \Pi - \sqrt{2}\,m\,\bar\theta Z, \qquad \bar D\Pi = 0. \tag{33}$$

The first condition in (32) expresses some components of Ψ through the components of Z and so forces the superfunction Π to transform through Z.

The transformations $\delta\Psi = \delta Z = 0$ give rise to the following transformation law for Π:

$$\delta\Pi = \sqrt{2}\, m\, \bar{\epsilon} Z. \tag{34}$$

It generates deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry transformations which coincide with the transformations (21). Thus the considered multiplet involves an irreducible chiral multiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ and a set of fields $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ which are described by the chiral $d = 1$ superfunctions Z and Π, respectively. The quantity Z is a chiral superfield, while Π has the non-standard transformation law (34) $\sim m$. Thus the parameter m is a deformation parameter responsible for unifying the two former chiral "short" multiplets into a single "long" multiplet.

3.1. Invariant Lagrangians

The most general Lagrangian of the long multiplet can be written down as

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Psi,Z)} = \int d\bar{\theta}\, d\theta \left[\bar{D}\bar{Z}\, DZ\, h_0\left(Z, \bar{Z}\right) + \Psi\bar{\Psi}\, h_1\left(Z, \bar{Z}\right) + \mu\, h_{(\mu)}\left(Z, \bar{Z}\right)\right], \tag{35}$$

where h_0, h_1, $h_{(\mu)}$ are arbitrary functions and μ is a mass-dimension parameter. The free Lagrangian of the long multiplet is given by a sum of the three superfield invariants:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\text{l}}} = \frac{1}{4}\int d\bar{\theta}\, d\theta \left(\bar{D}\bar{Z}\, DZ + 2\Psi\bar{\Psi} - 2\mu Z\bar{Z}\right). \tag{36}$$

In the component form it reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})_{\text{l}}} \;=\; & \dot{\bar{z}}\dot{z} + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\xi}\dot{\xi} - \dot{\bar{\xi}}\xi\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\pi}\dot{\pi} - \dot{\bar{\pi}}\pi\right) + B\bar{B} - m\left(\xi\bar{\pi} + \pi\bar{\xi}\right) - m^2 z\bar{z} \\ & - \mu\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(z\dot{\bar{z}} - \bar{z}\dot{z}\right) + \xi\bar{\xi}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The free Lagrangian (26) corresponds to the choice $\mu = 0$.

4. The long $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplet

In this section, we give a superfield description of the indecomposable long $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supermultiplet suggested in [12]. The standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry superalgebra is formed by the (anti)commutators

$$\left\{Q^i, \bar{Q}_j\right\} = 2\delta^i_j H, \qquad \left\{Q^i, Q^j\right\} = \left\{\bar{Q}_i, \bar{Q}_j\right\} = 0, \quad H = i\partial_t\,. \tag{38}$$

The covariant $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ derivatives are defined in the standard way as

$$D^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} - i\bar{\theta}^i\partial_t\,, \quad \bar{D}_j = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^j} + i\theta_j\partial_t\,, \qquad \left\{D^i, \bar{D}_j\right\} = 2\delta^i_j H. \tag{39}$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ superspace coordinates $\left\{t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^j\right\}$ undergo the transformations:

$$\delta\theta_i = \epsilon_i, \qquad \delta\bar{\theta}^j = \bar{\epsilon}^j, \qquad \delta t = i\left(\epsilon_i\bar{\theta}^i + \bar{\epsilon}^i\theta_i\right). \tag{40}$$

The indecomposable long $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supermultiplet is parametrized by a real dimensionless parameter α and is described by the system of complex $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superfields V and W obeying the constraints

$$\bar{D}_i V = i\alpha D_i W, \qquad \bar{D}_i W = 0. \tag{41}$$

In the limit $\alpha = 0$, these constraints are reduced to those defining two ordinary $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$ chiral multiplets. The constraints (41) are solved by

$$V\left(t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^j\right) = V_0\left(t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^j\right) + i\alpha\,\bar{\theta}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} W\left(t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^j\right), \qquad \bar{D}_k V_0 = 0, \tag{42}$$

implying the following transformation properties for the involved objects

$$\delta V_0\left(t_L, \theta_i\right) = -\,i\alpha\,\bar{\epsilon}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} W\left(t_L, \theta_i\right), \qquad \delta W = \delta V = 0. \tag{43}$$

In components, the solution (42) reads

$$\begin{aligned} V &= y + \sqrt{2}\,\theta_i \xi^i + \theta_i \theta^i A + i\bar{\theta}^i \theta_i \dot{y} + \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\theta}^j \theta_j \theta_i \dot{\xi}^i - \frac{1}{4}\,\bar{\theta}^j \bar{\theta}_j \theta_i \theta^i \ddot{y} \\ &\quad + i\alpha\,\bar{\theta}_i \left(\sqrt{2}\,\psi^i + 2\theta^i C - i\bar{\theta}^i \dot{x} + \sqrt{2}\,i\theta^i \bar{\theta}_j \dot{\psi}^j\right), \\ W &= x + \sqrt{2}\,\theta_i \psi^i + \theta_i \theta^i C + i\bar{\theta}^i \theta_i \dot{x} + \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\theta}^j \theta_j \theta_i \dot{\psi}^i - \frac{1}{4}\,\bar{\theta}^j \bar{\theta}_j \theta_i \theta^i \ddot{x}, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta y = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_i \xi^i - \sqrt{2}\,i\alpha\,\bar{\epsilon}_i \psi^i, \qquad \delta \xi^i = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}^i \left(\dot{y} - \alpha C\right) - \sqrt{2}\,\epsilon^i A, \qquad \delta A = -\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}_i \dot{\xi}^i, \\ &\delta x = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_i \psi^i, \qquad \delta \psi^i = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}^i \dot{x} - \sqrt{2}\,\epsilon^i C, \qquad \delta C = -\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}_i \dot{\psi}^i. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The components of W have the standard transformations inherent to the chiral multiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})$, while the transformations of the remaining fields y, ξ^i, A acquire additional pieces involving the components of W (they are proportional to α).

4.1. Lagrangian

The general kinetic Lagrangian is written as

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta\, d^2\bar{\theta}\, f\left(V, \bar{V}, W, \bar{W}\right), \tag{46}$$

where f is just an arbitrary real function of superfields. Like in [12], we can define six bilinear invariant kinetic Lagrangians:

$$V\bar{V}, \quad W\bar{W}, \quad V\bar{W} + W\bar{V}, \quad i\left(V\bar{W} - W\bar{V}\right), \quad V^2 + \bar{V}^2, \quad i\left(V^2 - \bar{V}^2\right). \tag{47}$$

Possible terms VW and $\bar{W}\bar{V}$ do not contribute. Dependence on α remains only in the superfield V, so only five out of six bilinear kinetic Lagrangians involve the parameter α.

One can write a superpotential Lagrangian for the chiral superfield W as

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{\text{pot}} = \int d^2\theta\, \mathcal{F}\left(W\right) + \int d^2\bar{\theta}\, \bar{\mathcal{F}}\left(\bar{W}\right). \tag{48}$$

Another option is to write the following superpotential Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{pot}} = \int d^2\theta\, h'\left(W\right) V_0 + \int d^2\bar{\theta}\, \bar{h}'\left(\bar{W}\right) \bar{V}_0. \tag{49}$$

The transformation property (43) of V_0 allows to represent transformations of this term as

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_2^{\text{pot}} = -\,i\alpha\bar{\epsilon}_k \int d^2\theta\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} h\left(W\right) + \text{c.c.} = 0. \tag{50}$$

Above superpotential Lagrangians have no dependence on α since the chiral superfunction V_0 corresponds just to the limit $\alpha = 0$ of V.

To make comparison with [12], we can consider two bilinear superpotential terms

$$\gamma_1 \int d^2\theta\, V_0 W + \bar{\gamma}_1 \int d^2\bar{\theta}\, \bar{V}_0 \bar{W} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2 \int d^2\theta\, W^2 + \bar{\gamma}_2 \int d^2\bar{\theta}\, \bar{W}^2. \tag{51}$$

Here, γ_1 and γ_2 are complex parameters of mass dimension. These bilinear superpotential terms in components generate the so called "Super-Zeeman" invariant terms of ref.[12], one of them containing expressions proportional to α and corresponding to a coupling to an external magnetic field. In [12], such terms were also referred to as Wess-Zumino type terms. This Wess-Zumino term can in fact be eliminated by redefining the fields (in the notations of ref. [12]) as

$$F_4 \to F_4 - \alpha\dot{\phi}_6\,, \qquad \psi_1 \to \psi_1 + \alpha\psi_8\,, \qquad \psi_2 \to \psi_2 + \alpha\psi_7\,. \tag{52}$$

Then all "Super-Zeeman" invariant terms become independent of α, and the same is true for our general superpotential Lagrangians.

In our notations, Wess-Zumino terms appear only after the elimination of auxiliary fields[1]. An example of such a term is given in the next subsection.

4.2. Free model

As an instructive example, let us consider the simple free Lagrangian given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}} &= \frac{1}{4}\int d^2\theta\, d^2\bar{\theta}\left[V\bar{V} + \left(1-\alpha^2\right) W\bar{W}\right] + \frac{1}{4}\int d^2\theta\,(2\mu_1 V_0 + \mu_2 W)\, W \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\int d^2\bar{\theta}\left(2\mu_1\bar{V}_0 + \mu_2\bar{W}\right)\bar{W},\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are real parameters of the mass dimension. The coefficient $(1-\alpha^2)$ in front of $W\bar{W}$ was chosen to gain the correctly normalized kinetic terms in the off-shell Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}} &= \dot{y}\dot{\bar{y}} + \dot{x}\dot{\bar{x}} + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\xi}_i\dot{\xi}^i - \dot{\bar{\xi}}_i\xi^i\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}_i\dot{\psi}^i - \dot{\bar{\psi}}_i\psi^i\right) + A\bar{A} + \left(1+\alpha^2\right) C\bar{C} - \alpha\left(C\dot{\bar{y}} + \bar{C}\dot{y}\right) \\ &\quad + \mu_1\left(Cy + Ax + \bar{C}\bar{y} + \bar{A}\bar{x} + \xi^i\psi_i + \bar{\xi}_i\bar{\psi}^i\right) + \mu_2\left(Cx + \bar{C}\bar{x} + \frac{1}{2}\,\psi^i\psi_i + \frac{1}{2}\,\bar{\psi}_i\bar{\psi}^i\right).\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

After eliminating the auxiliary fields A and C by their equations of motion,

$$\left(1+\alpha^2\right) C = \alpha\dot{y} - \mu_1\bar{y} - \mu_2\bar{x}, \qquad A = -\,\mu_1\bar{x}, \tag{55}$$

and neglecting a total time-derivative, we obtain the on-shell Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}} &= \frac{\dot{y}\dot{\bar{y}}}{1+\alpha^2} + \dot{x}\dot{\bar{x}} + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\xi}_i\dot{\xi}^i - \dot{\bar{\xi}}_i\xi^i\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}_i\dot{\psi}^i - \dot{\bar{\psi}}_i\psi^i\right) + \frac{\alpha\mu_2\left(x\dot{y} + \bar{x}\dot{\bar{y}}\right)}{1+\alpha^2} - (\mu_1)^2\, x\bar{x} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\mu_1\bar{y} + \mu_2\bar{x})\,(\mu_1 y + \mu_2 x)}{1+\alpha^2} + \mu_1\left(\xi^i\psi_i + \bar{\xi}_i\bar{\psi}^i\right) + \frac{\mu_2}{2}\left(\psi^i\psi_i + \bar{\psi}_i\bar{\psi}^i\right).\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

The relevant on-shell transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta y = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_i\xi^i - \sqrt{2}\,i\alpha\,\bar{\epsilon}_i\psi^i, \quad \delta\xi^i = \frac{\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}^i}{1+\alpha^2}\left[\dot{y} + \alpha\left(\mu_1\bar{y} + \mu_2\bar{x}\right)\right] + \sqrt{2}\,\mu_1\,\epsilon^i\bar{x}, \\ &\delta x = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_i\psi^i, \qquad \delta\psi^i = \sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}^i\dot{x} - \frac{\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon^i}{1+\alpha^2}\left(\alpha\dot{y} - \mu_1\bar{y} - \mu_2\bar{x}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

[1] Such a possibility was also mentioned in [12].

The on-shell Lagrangian (56) contains the Wess-Zumino type term describing an interaction between two chiral $\mathcal{N}=4$ multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})$:

$$\sim \frac{\alpha\mu_2\,(x\dot{y}+\bar{x}\dot{\bar{y}})}{1+\alpha^2}. \tag{58}$$

This term matches with the statement of [12] that the elimination of auxiliary fields induces additional terms which can be treated as a coupling to an external magnetic field.

When $\mu_1=\mu_2=0$, we can make rescaling $y\to\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}\,y$ in the Lagrangian (56) and obtain the α-independent Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm free}\,|_{\mu_1=\mu_2=0}=\dot{y}\dot{\bar{y}}+\dot{x}\dot{\bar{x}}+\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\xi}_i\dot{\xi}^i-\dot{\bar{\xi}}_i\xi^i\right)+\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}_i\dot{\psi}^i-\dot{\bar{\psi}}_i\psi^i\right). \tag{59}$$

The transformations (57) become

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\left[-\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_i\xi^i-\sqrt{2}\,i\alpha\,\bar{\epsilon}_i\psi^i\right], \qquad \delta\xi^i=\frac{\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}^i\dot{y}}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\,,\\
&\delta x=-\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_i\psi^i, \qquad \delta\psi^i=\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\epsilon}^i\dot{x}-\frac{\sqrt{2}\,\alpha\,\epsilon^i\dot{y}}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The Lagrangian (59) is thus invariant under supersymmetry transformations with various parameters α, since it has no dependence on α. For instance, it is invariant under the undeformed $\alpha=0$ transformations

$$\delta y=-\sqrt{2}\,\eta_i\xi^i, \qquad \delta\xi^i=\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\eta}^i\dot{y}, \qquad \delta x=-\sqrt{2}\,\eta_i\psi^i, \qquad \delta\psi^i=\sqrt{2}\,i\bar{\eta}^i\dot{x}. \tag{61}$$

Their closure with (60) yields additional bosonic transformations

$$\delta y=a\dot{x}, \qquad \delta x=\bar{a}\dot{y}, \tag{62}$$

which leave the Lagrangian (59) invariant and commute (on-shell) with the supersymmetric transformations (60) for any α. It would be interesting to see whether a similar phenomenon takes place in the interaction case too.

5. Summary and outlook

We have shown how long $\mathcal{N}=2$, $d=1$ multiplets can be embedded into $SU(2|1)$ chiral multiplets in the framework of $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics. They naturally appear in $SU(2|1)$ mechanics of chiral multiplets, when the chiral superfield Φ_A carries some external index A with respect to the subgroup $SU(2)$ of the supergroup $SU(2|1)$. We studied this multiplet in the framework of $\mathcal{N}=2$ superspace and constructed its general superfield action.

We considered the long $\mathcal{N}=4$ multiplet [12] within the standard $\mathcal{N}=4$ superspace. Defining and solving the constraint (41), we obtained the superfields V and W describing the long multiplet $(\mathbf{4},\mathbf{8},\mathbf{4})_{\rm l}$ and constructed general superfield Lagrangians consisting of the kinetic (sigma-model type) and superpotential Lagrangians. We considered the free Lagrangian (53), where the superpotential term $\sim\mu_2$ is responsible for appearing Wess-Zumino type term in the on-shell Lagrangian (56).

In conclusion, we outline some further possible lines of investigation.

- Quantization of the model (56) and construction of the Hilbert space of wave functions.
- Study of some other generalizations of long multiplets to the standard flat $\mathcal{N}=4$, $d=1$ supersymmetry [10, 11].

- Generalizing the constraints (41) to the $SU(2|1)$ covariantized constraints:

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k V = i\alpha \mathcal{D}_k W, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_k W = 0. \tag{63}$$

  Such a generalization is possible for the second type of the $SU(2|1)$ chirality [6], when the spinor derivatives are inert under the induced $U(1)$ transformations.
- Answering the question whether it is possible to find out $d > 1$ analogs of long multiplets.

Acknowledgments
E.I. and S.S. thank the organizers of the conference ISQS24 for the kind hospitality in Prague. S.S. acknowledges support from the Votruba-Blokhintsev program. The work of E.I. and S.S. was partially supported by the Russian Science Foundation, Grant No. 16-12-10306. A.R.M. thanks the Directorate of BLTP and JINR for the opportunity to work in a scientific atmosphere.

References

[1] Ivanov E and Sidorov S 2014 Deformed supersymmetric mechanics *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** 075013 (*Preprint* 1307.7690 [hep-th])
[2] Smilga A V 2004 Weak supersymmetry *Phys. Lett.* B **585** 173 (*Preprint* hep-th/0311023)
[3] Bellucci S and Nersessian A 2004 *Supersymmetric Kähler oscillator in a constant magnetic field* (*Preprint* hep-th/0401232)
[4] Römelsberger C 2006 Counting chiral primaries in $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $d = 4$ superconformal field theories *Nucl. Phys.* B **747** 329-353 (*Preprint* hep-th/0510060)
[5] Römelsberger C 2007 Calculating the superconformal index and Seiberg duality (*Preprint* 0707.3702 [hep-th])
[6] Ivanov E and Sidorov S 2014 Super Kähler oscillator from $SU(2|1)$ superspace *J. Phys.* A **47** 292002 (*Preprint* 1312.6821 [hep-th]).
[7] Ivanov E, Sidorov S and Toppan F 2015 Superconformal mechanics in $SU(2|1)$ superspace *Phys. Rev.* D **91** 085032 (*Preprint* 1501.05622 [hep-th])
[8] Ivanov E and Sidorov S 2016 $SU(2|1)$ mechanics and harmonic superspace *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** 055001 (*Preprint* 1507.00987 [hep-th])
[9] Assel B, Cassani D, Di Pietro L, Komargodski Z, Lorenzen J and Martelli D 2015 The Casimir energy in curved space and its supersymmetric counterpart *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP07(2015)043 (*Preprint* 1503.05537 [hep-th])
[10] Gonzales M, Khodaee S and Toppan F 2011 On non-minimal N=4 supermultiplets in 1D and their associated sigma-models *J. Math. Phys.* **52** 013514 (*Preprint* 1006.4678 [hep-th])
[11] Gonzales M, Iga K, Khodaee S and Toppan F 2012 Pure and entangled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ linear supermultiplets and their one-dimensional sigma-models *J. Math. Phys.* **53** 103513 (*Preprint* 1204.5506 [hep-th])
[12] Hubsch T and Katona G A 2016 A Q-continuum of off-shell supermultiplets *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** 7350892 (*Preprint* 1310.3256 [hep-th])
[13] Ivanov E and Sidorov S 2016 Long multiplets in supersymmetric mechanics *Phys. Rev.* D **93** 065052 (*Preprint* 1509.05561 [hep-th])